

Application No. 09/537,416

Applicant(s)

Takashima et al.

Interview Summary

Examiner

Art Unit Irene Marx

1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Irene Marx	(3)	
(2) Ms. Takagi		
Date of Interview Nov 12, 2002	_	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	d. g) $oxtime{X}$ was not reached. h) $oxtime{\Box}$ N/A.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is c	hecked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action how THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	has THE
	IRENE MA PRIMARY EXA ART UNIT	AMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required	_